

REMARKS

Claim 1 was rejected under Section 102 on Guetz.

Claim 1 has been amended to call for receiving motion detection information from an infrared motion detector.

Apparently the Examiner's argument is that any system that does compression inherently detects motion. However, since the claim has been amended to call for an infrared motion detector, it is clear that the claim distinguishes over the cited reference.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Date: March 5, 2004